



**State of Oklahoma
Oklahoma Health Care Authority**

Amendment of Solicitation

Date of Issuance: January 25, 2012

Solicitation No. Predictive Modeling RFI

Requisition No. _____

Amendment No. 3

Hour and date specified for receipt of offers is changed: No Yes, to: _____ CST

Pursuant to OAC 580:15-4-5(c)(5), this document shall serve as official notice of amendment to the Solicitation identified above. Such notice is being provided to all suppliers to which the original solicitation was sent.

Suppliers submitting bids or quotations shall acknowledge receipt of this solicitation amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation as follows:

- (1) Sign and return a copy of this amendment with the solicitation response being submitted; or,
- (2) If the supplier has already submitted a response, this acknowledgement must be signed and returned prior to the solicitation deadline. All amendment acknowledgements submitted separately shall have the solicitation number and bid opening date printed clearly on the front of the envelope.

ISSUED BY and RETURN TO:

U.S. Postal Delivery:

Oklahoma Health Care Authority
2401 N.W. 23rd Street
Suite 1-A
Oklahoma City, OK 73107 - 2423

Theresa Isenhour
Contracting Officer

(405) - 522 - 7264
Phone Number

or

Personal or Common Carrier Delivery:

Oklahoma Health Care Authority
2401 N.W. 23rd Street
Suite 1-A
Oklahoma City, OK 73107 - 2423

theresa.isenhour@okhca.org
E-Mail Address

Description of Amendment:

a. This is to incorporate the following:

To address questions received from Potential Respondents (See the Attachment).

b. All other terms and conditions remain unchanged.

Supplier Company Name (PRINT) _____

Date _____

Authorized Representative Name (PRINT) _____

Title _____

Authorized Representative Signature _____

1. Please provide a clear and complete explanation of the payment denied status within the data set. It is not clear to us how to distinguish between 'fraud', syntactical issues with the submission, eligibility criterion issues, etc. Why is the ratio of rejected to paid submissions so large in this data set?
Please refer to the OHCA Billing and Procedure Manual (<http://www.okhca.org/providers.aspx?id=100>).
The ratio of rejected to paid claims in the two quarters of data provided for this RFI process is consistent with other periods.
2. Please outline database consistency checks, requirements, etc. From looking at the data, it appears that inconsistent elements can exist in the database. Without an understanding of 'legal' relationships within the data (constraints, edits, pre-checks), it is not clear to what level the data must be post-checked for consistency violations.
See Question 1.
3. Semantics and interpretation of billing and performing NPIs, and database enforcement of these—unless clear from 2. In practice, how constrained is the use of 'performing NPI' as a physician, versus performing NPI as an institution.
The OHCA does not understand this question and ,therefore, we are unable to provide a response.
4. Please expand on the semantic distinction of ub9 and Physician databases, in practice (aside from the obvious usage of forms in one venue or another).
See Question 1.
5. There doesn't appear to be a prescribing physician available in the pharmacy database. Does the link between beneficiary, pharmacy and prescribing physician exist in the data extract?
Yes
6. Are any descriptive or summary statistics available for this database for comparison purposes?
While the OHCA did not prepare any summary statistics, you may find useful information in the OHCA Annual Report (<http://www.okhca.org/research.aspx?id=84&parts=7447&parts=7447>) or the OHCA Monthly Fast Facts (<http://www.okhca.org/research.aspx?id=87&parts=7447>).
7. Are there any summary documents that describe programs, participation, etc., in the Oklahoma Medicaid program that might be useful guides to data semantics?
Please refer to the OHCA website (<http://www.okhca.org/>).
8. Is there a database of NPIs/Beneficiaries/cases under investigation and/or under suspicion of questionable activities?
No
9. Does OHCA have any specific minimum requirements for the Respondent performing research using the Restricted Data described in the Data Use Agreement?
Respondents must use the Restricted Data in accordance with the Data Use Agreement.
10. Must the Respondent finalize all results of its research using the Restricted Data by the RFI due date or may research continue to be performed if Respondent is selected to provide a demonstration?
Research must be submitted by April 9, 2012.

11. How will OCHA determine which vendors will be invited to conduct the software demonstrations?
Respondents who meet the RFI requirements will have the opportunity to provide a demonstration. The OHCA will determine the date and length for the presentations.
12. Is there a formal scoring process to arrive at this decision?
Not Applicable – See Question 11.
13. If so, can you please share the scoring sheet and process with us?
Not Applicable – See Question 11.
14. If there is no formal scoring process, what are the top 3 factors that will be considered to determine which vendors to invite back for demonstrations?
Not Applicable – See Question 11.
15. Have you determined any “baseline” benchmark/s for the number of fraud cases you expect vendors to find in the sample data that is being made available? If so, how will each vendor be measured against this baseline and how significant a factor will a vendor’s score be in allowing them to remain in contention during the remaining acquisition process?
No-baseline has been established.
16. If there are no specific benchmarks being used to determine the effectiveness of the vendor demonstrations, what factors will be used to compare and determine which vendor has the most effective solution?
There are no specific benchmarks at this time. The OHCA is using this RFI process to obtain as much information as possible related to a predictive modeling system.
17. Are you looking to keep the existing J-SURS software or replace it with the new system?
The OHCA does not anticipate replacing the existing J-SURS software.
18. Do you expect to procure directly as a result of the demonstrations or will an RFP follow?
If the OHCA decides to pursue a predictive modeling system, then an RFP process would be utilized.
19. When do you expect to procure?
If the decision is made to procure a predictive modeling system then an RFP process would likely occur within the next year or so.
20. Is there a budget for this project?
At this time, no budget has been determined.
21. If so, what is the budget?
Not Applicable – See Question #20.
22. We have found that there is a whole month of duplicate data in the data that we received from you. It appears that the pharmacy data for periods 08 and 09 are duplicated. Was this duplication intentional or is this an error? If it was not intentional, will you be sending out revised data and if so when? Please also, as appropriate, provide any further clarification about this duplicate data.
Duplicate data was inadvertently supplied by the OHCA, the corrected data has been placed on the SFTP location.

23. Can you provide us provider file (without SSN) to include NPI, license, practice and payee addresses, specialty begin and end date etc.?

The information is available. However the only data available during this RFI process is the data previously supplied in accordance with the Data Use Agreement.

24. Is Place of Service and Category of Service not available with claim?

The information is available. However the only data available during this RFI process is the data previously supplied in accordance with the Data Use Agreement.

25. Are there Oklahoma specific procedure codes? If so, can you provide us description of fee schedule?

No

26. Can you provide us a link to the authoritative Oklahoma specific regulations?

Please refer to the OHCA website (<http://www.okhca.org/providers.aspx?id=122>).